arXiv:1611.00948v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 3 Nov 2016

# Mixing in modulated turbulence. Analytical results.

Wouter J.T. Bos*

*LMFA, CNRS, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Université de Lyon, 69134 Ecully, France*

Robert Rubinstein

*Newport News, VA USA*

**Abstract**

Recent numerical results show that if a scalar is mixed by periodically forced turbulence, the average mixing rate is directly affected for forcing frequencies small compared to the integral turbulence frequency. We elucidate this by an analytical study using simple turbulence models for spectral transfer. Adding a large amplitude modulation to the forcing of the velocity field enhances the energy transfer and simultaneously diminishes the scalar transfer. Adding a modulation to a random stirring protocol will thus negatively influence the mixing rate. We further derive the asymptotic behaviour of the response of the passive scalar quantities in the same flow for low and high forcing frequencies.

*Keywords:* Mixing rate, modulated turbulence, passive scalar, isotropic turbulence

*email address: wouter.bos@ec-lyon.fr, tel. +33472186204

## 1. Introduction

Recent results from direct numerical simulation suggest that the time-averaged mixing in turbulent flow can be affected by adding a periodic modulation to the energy injection of the turbulent velocity field [1]. Indeed it is shown that the scalar flux towards small scales, or mixing rate, is attenuated by the modulation. On the contrary an enhanced transfer of kinetic energy towards small scales is observed. In the present work we will explain these observations analytically using a second order analysis of simple turbulence closure models.

### *1.1. Closures and turbulence*

Nowadays numerical simulations allow us to reproduce academic experiments of isotropic turbulence at Reynolds numbers of the same order as obtained in large wind-tunnel facilities [2]. Closures have therefore partially lost their interest as tools to predict the behavior of turbulent flows at large Reynolds numbers. However, they are still very valuable for the interpretation of results on turbulent flows. If we know the minimum ingredients of a model which is capable of reproducing particular features of a turbulent flow, there is a good chance that we can obtain a qualitative understanding of the particular flow features. If we adopt this viewpoint, it is important to choose the adequate, i.e., the simplest possible model which is able to reproduce the physics of a problem.

The simplest model for spectral transfer of turbulent kinetic energy is probably Kovaznay's closure [3]. It should be used if the only features one needs to retain of the nonlinear transfer is the constant flux dynamics of

a long inertial range, consistent with Kolmogorov's ideas. Another simple, but more elegant closure was proposed by Leith [4]. In addition to giving the Kolmogorov scaling, his diffusion-approximation is compatible with the thermal equilibrium properties of Galerkin truncated inviscid turbulent flow.

In reference [5] the simplest turbulence models were assessed for the case of scalar mixing in isotropic turbulence. Indeed, also in the scalar case, Kovaznay's and Leith's models [6] are examples of simple models consistent with a conserved flux and local interactions. In the present work we will use such simple closure models to explain the observations of the recent numerical study [1] on mixing in periodically forced turbulence.

More precisely, the questions that we will answer in this manuscript are the following:

- What is the frequency response of the scalar field at low and high frequencies of the modulation of the velocity forcing?
- How is the mixing rate affected when the amplitude of the periodic part of the forcing is not small?

Here and in the following, a low (high) frequency refers to a frequency $\omega$ of the periodic forcing, small (large) compared to the integral frequency of the turbulent flow.

### *1.2. Notation*

In the present work we consider both one-point and two-point statistics, as well as time-, phase- and ensemble-averages, and therefore a word on the notation is helpful in order to clearly distinguish the different types of statistics.

To start with, all quantities we consider are averaged, and since we consider only statistically homogeneous flows, all quantities are independent of the space coordinate. If no tildes or overlines are used, the quantities are ensemble averages, such as $k = k(\omega, t)$, depending in principle on the frequency $\omega$ and time $t$. We decompose the ensemble averages in a time-averaged part, indicated by overlined symbols, such as $\overline{k} = \overline{k}(\omega)$ and the remaining, periodic quantities indicated by a tilde, $\tilde{k} = \tilde{k}(\omega)$ (see for instance reference [7]). Lower-case symbols $(k, \epsilon, p)$ are one-point statistics, upper-case symbols are wavenumber spectra ($E = E(\kappa, \omega, t)$, $\overline{E} = \overline{E}(\omega, \kappa), ...$, with $\kappa$ the wavenumber). Phase-shifts are indicated by $\phi = \phi(\omega)$, for the one-point statistics and $\Phi = \Phi(\kappa, \omega)$ for the wavenumber spectra.

## 2. Periodically forced turbulence and mixing

### *2.1. One-point statistics*

We investigate how turbulent mixing is affected if the velocity statistics contain a periodic component. The energy balance is

$$\partial_t k = p - \epsilon, \tag{1}$$

where $k, p, \epsilon$ are ensemble-averages of the kinetic energy, production and dissipation, respectively. The periodic component of the velocity field is created by a modulated forcing,

$$p = \overline{p} + \tilde{p}\cos(\omega t). \tag{2}$$

The time-averaged and periodic components satisfy,

$$0 = \overline{p} - \overline{\epsilon}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\omega\tilde{k}\sin(\omega t+\phi_k)=\tilde{\epsilon}\cos(\omega t+\phi_\epsilon)-\tilde{p}\cos(\omega t). \tag{4}$$

In this expression $\phi_k$ and $\phi_\epsilon$ are phase-shifts. We have assumed that the periodic response of the velocity statistics will be dominated by a contribution at the forcing frequency $\omega$. The frequency responses of $\tilde{k}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ were investigated theoretically in [8, 9], numerically in [10, 11] and experimentally in [12].

The scalar is forced by an injection $p_\theta=\overline{p}_\theta$, without periodic component. But since the velocity field which advects the scalar field is modulated, a trace of the modulation can be found back in the scalar statistics. The scalar variance evolves as

$$\partial_t k_\theta = p_\theta - \epsilon_\theta. \tag{5}$$

The mean and periodic parts of the scalar are given, respectively, by

$$0=\overline{p}_\theta-\overline{\epsilon}_\theta, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\omega\tilde{k}_\theta\sin(\omega t+\phi_\theta)=\tilde{\epsilon}_\theta\cos(\omega t+\phi_{\epsilon_\theta}). \tag{7}$$

An interesting feature of this last equation is that it does not contain any source term. On the level of single point averages it is therefore difficult to do any predictions on the behavior of $\tilde{k}_\theta$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_\theta$. The only result obtained from this equation is that

$$\omega|\tilde{k}_\theta|=|\tilde{\epsilon}_\theta|, \tag{8}$$

and that the phase-shifts will differ by a constant value of $\pi/2$.

If we define the velocity and scalar timescales as

$$T=\frac{\overline{k}}{\overline{\epsilon}}, \qquad T_\theta=\frac{\overline{k}_\theta}{\overline{\epsilon}_\theta}, \tag{9}$$

then we can relate the mixing rate to the scalar timescale by

$$\chi_\theta = T_\theta^{-1}. \tag{10}$$

Equivalently we can relate the energy transfer rate to the inverse of the integral timescale,

$$\chi = T^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

In the present case of statistically homogeneous flow, these definitions of the transfer and mixing rate are straightforward choices. In inhomogeneous flows the mixing process will also involve the turbulent diffusion and the definition of a mixing rate is less straightforward. When the scalar quantity is not passive, the definitions of mixing efficiency and mixing rate are far more complicated (as for instance in stably stratified flows [13], or flows generated by Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities [14] or Richtmyer-Meshkov instabilities [15]).

*2.2. Spectral description of the problem*

The case we consider consists in an isotropic turbulent flow, maintained statistically stationary by a forcing containing a time-periodic component. More precisely, we consider the dynamics of a turbulent flow, characterized by the kinetic energy spectrum $E(\kappa, t)$, given by the evolution equation

$$\partial_t E = -\partial_\kappa \Pi - 2\nu\kappa^2 E + P. \tag{12}$$

where

$$P = \overline{P} + \tilde{P}\cos(\omega t), \tag{13}$$

and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity. The choice of a model for the spectral flux $\Pi$ determines the precise dynamics of the flow. An important property of

this flux is that it should vanish at $k = 0$ and $k = \infty$, so that the integral of (12) yields (1).

We consider the advection of a passive scalar in the same flow, which is forced by a large scale injection, without any periodic component ($P_\theta = \overline{P}_\theta$). The scalar spectrum $E_\theta(\kappa, t)$ obeys,

$$\partial_t E_\theta = -\partial_\kappa \Pi_\theta - 2\alpha\kappa^2 E_\theta + P_\theta, \tag{14}$$

where $\alpha$ is the diffusivity of the scalar. The case of unity Prandtl number, $\nu = \alpha$ is investigated.

We consider that both the velocity and scalar forcing are confined to the largest scales. To facilitate the considerations, we assume the forcing terms to act on wavenumber $\kappa_f$ only,

$$P = p\ \delta(\kappa - \kappa_f), \qquad P_\theta = p_\theta\ \delta(\kappa - \kappa_f). \tag{15}$$

A more complicated case could be investigated, where the periodic forcing acts at another scale than the steady part of the forcing, with possible relevance to flow-control, or geophysical flows, but this case is not considered here.

The energy spectrum and scalar spectrum will contain a time-averaged component and a periodic component,

$$E = \overline{E} + \tilde{E}\cos(\omega t + \Phi_E), \tag{16}$$

$$E_\theta = \overline{E}_\theta + \tilde{E}_\theta\cos(\omega t + \Phi_{E_\theta}). \tag{17}$$

The time-averaged spectra obey then the equations,

$$0 = -\partial_\kappa \overline{\Pi} - 2\nu\kappa^2 \overline{E} + \overline{P} \tag{18}$$

$$0 = -\partial_\kappa \overline{\Pi}_\theta - 2\alpha\kappa^2 \overline{E}_\theta + \overline{P}_\theta, \tag{19}$$

and the periodic contributions,

$$\left(\partial_t + 2\nu\kappa^2\right)\tilde{E}\cos(\omega t + \Phi_E) = -\partial_\kappa\left(\tilde{\Pi}\cos(\omega t + \Phi_\Pi)\right) + \tilde{P}\cos(\omega t) \quad (20)$$

$$\left(\partial_t + 2\alpha\kappa^2\right)\tilde{E}_\theta\cos(\omega t + \Phi_{E_\theta}) = -\partial_\kappa\left(\tilde{\Pi}_\theta\cos(\omega t + \Phi_{\Pi_\theta})\right). \quad (21)$$

Upto this point we have not introduced any serious approximations, apart from the assumption that the response of the fields will be periodic with frequency $\omega$. In the following, section 3 we will first focus on the low frequency dynamics of the velocity and scalar statistics. Then, in section 4, the high frequency dynamics of the scalar will be investigated.

## 3. Low frequency dynamics

In the low frequency, or quasi-static limit all scales have sufficient time to adapt to the forcing. The modulation does therefore not introduce any phase-shifts in the statistics. This means that in equations (20) and (21) the quantities $\Phi_E$, $\Phi_{E_\theta}$, $\Phi_\Pi$ and $\Phi_{\Pi_\theta}$ are close to zero. Also, the time-derivative vanishes in this limit. The equations simplify then to

$$2\nu\kappa^2\tilde{E} = -\partial_\kappa\tilde{\Pi} + \tilde{P} \quad (22)$$

$$2\alpha\kappa^2\tilde{E}_\theta = -\partial_\kappa\tilde{\Pi}_\theta. \quad (23)$$

To solve these equations, we need to specify the fluxes $\Pi$ and $\Pi_\theta$. The simplest model for those fluxes, compatible with conserved flux and Kolmogorov-Obukhov scaling, is Kovaznay's closure for the nonlinear transfer of kinetic energy [3] and its analog for scalar turbulence [5]. These models read

$$\Pi = C_k^{-3/2}\kappa^{5/2}E^{3/2}, \quad (24)$$

with $C_k$ the Kolmogorov constant, and

$$\Pi_\theta = C_\theta \kappa^{5/2} E^{1/2} E_\theta, \tag{25}$$

where $C_\theta$ is related to the Kolmogorov and Corrsin-Obukhov constants by the relation

$$C_\theta = (C_k C_{CO}^2)^{-1/2}. \tag{26}$$

These closures are consistent with the dominant effect of straining in the transfer of energy and scalar variance towards small scales, compatible with Kolmogorov and Corrsin-Obukhov scaling. The influence of sweeping is not dominant in the energy transfer process, but its effect on the mixing efficiency constitutes an interesting direction for further research [16, 17].

Now these models are specified we can solve the equations to assess the influence of the modulated forcing on the dynamics of $E$ and $E_\theta$.

### *3.1. Modulated kinetic energy*

Introducing $E = \overline{E} + \tilde{E}$ in (24) and expanding in terms of $\tilde{E}/\bar{E}$ we obtain,

$$\Pi \approx C_k^{-3/2} \kappa^{5/2} \overline{E}^{3/2} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tilde{E} \cos(\omega t)}{\overline{E}} + \mathcal{O}((\tilde{E}/\overline{E})^2) \right), \tag{27}$$

So that

$$\overline{\Pi} \approx C_k^{-3/2} \kappa^{5/2} \overline{E}^{3/2} \left( 1 + \mathcal{O}((\tilde{E}/\overline{E})^2) \right), \tag{28}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Pi} \cos(\omega t) \approx C_k^{-3/2} \kappa^{5/2} \overline{E}^{3/2} \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tilde{E} \cos(\omega t)}{\overline{E}} + \mathcal{O}((\tilde{E}/\overline{E})^2) \right). \tag{29}$$

Combining these expressions, we have therefore to first order

$$\tilde{\Pi} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tilde{E}}{\overline{E}} \overline{\Pi}. \tag{30}$$

In the inertial range, in the quasi-static limit, fluxes should balance the energy input,

$$\overline{\Pi} \approx \int^k \overline{P} dk = \overline{p}, \quad \tilde{\Pi} \approx \int^k \tilde{P} dk = \tilde{p}, \tag{31}$$

which gives

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}} \overline{E}. \tag{32}$$

Integration gives the modulated kinetic energy in the quasi-static limit,

$$\tilde{k} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}} \overline{k}, \tag{33}$$

a result which was also obtained in [8] by elementary arguments.

*3.2. Average kinetic energy and integral timescale*

From equation (28) it can be seen that, to first order, the modulated energy input does not influence the time-averaged dynamics. This is consistent with previous studies of modulated turbulence, where the amplitude of the modulated forcing was small compared to the averaged component [10], [8]. However, in the recent simulations by Yang *et al.* [1], where the amplitude of the modulation was taken equal to the value of the time-averaged component, a significant modification of the averaged kinetic energy was observed for low frequencies. For such amplitudes, it is possible that the linear approximation breaks down, and higher order contributions should be taken into account. Doing so, to second order, expression (28) becomes

$$\overline{\Pi} = C_k^{-3/2} \kappa^{5/2} \overline{E}^{3/2} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{8} \frac{\overline{\tilde{E}^2 \cos^2(\omega t)}}{\overline{E}^2} \right). \tag{34}$$

The time-average of the quadratic fluctuation is,

$$\overline{\tilde{E}^2 \cos^2(\omega t)} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{E}^2, \tag{35}$$

and combining this with (32), we obtain in the inertial range

$$\overline{p} = \overline{\epsilon} = \overline{\Pi} = C_k^{-3/2} \kappa^{5/2} \overline{E}^{3/2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}} \right)^2 \right). \tag{36}$$

This shows that the modulation leads to an enhanced energy transfer to small scales. The physical consequence of this is that if there is a time-periodic part in the large-scale forcing of a flow, the energy spectrum will be equal to

$$\overline{E}(k) = C_k' \overline{\epsilon}^{2/3} k^{-5/3}, \tag{37}$$

where the Kolmogorov constant is affected by the second-order correction. Its effective value will be

$$C_k' = C_k \left( 1 + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}} \right)^2 \right)^{-2/3}, \tag{38}$$

and for a fixed energy input, the kinetic energy will be lowered by the same factor, with respect to the unperturbed value $\overline{k}_\infty$

$$\overline{k} = \overline{k}_\infty \left( 1 + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}} \right)^2 \right)^{-2/3}. \tag{39}$$

*3.3. Modulated scalar variance*

Introducing $E = \overline{E} + \tilde{E}$ and $E_\theta = \overline{E}_\theta + \tilde{E}_\theta$ in (25) and expanding in terms of $\tilde{E}/\bar{E}$ and $\tilde{E}_\theta/\bar{E}_\theta$ we obtain to first order

$$\tilde{\Pi}_\theta \approx C_\theta \kappa^{5/2} \overline{E}^{1/2} \overline{E}_\theta \left( \frac{\tilde{E}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{E}}{\overline{E}} \right). \tag{40}$$

Since there is no modulated production term, the flux in the inertial range should vanish. This gives the relation

$$\frac{\tilde{E}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{E}}{\overline{E}} = 0, \tag{41}$$

and thereby

$$\tilde{E}_\theta = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{E}}{\overline{E}}\overline{E}_\theta. \tag{42}$$

Using (32), we find that

$$\tilde{E}_\theta = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}}\overline{E}_\theta. \tag{43}$$

The minus sign in this expression shows that the scalar modulation is always completely out of phase with respect to the kinetic energy. The modulated scalar variance is obtained by integration, yielding

$$|\tilde{k}_\theta| = \frac{1}{3}\frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}}\overline{k}_\theta, \tag{44}$$

and according to (8),

$$|\tilde{\epsilon}_\theta| = \frac{1}{3}\frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}}\overline{\epsilon}_\theta\omega. \tag{45}$$

*3.4. Average scalar variance and mixing rate*

Again, as for the average kinetic energy, the modulation of the flow does not affect the linear expansion of the average scalar flux $\overline{\Pi}_\theta$. Retaining second order contributions in the expansion of (25), we obtain

$$\overline{\Pi}_\theta = C_\theta\kappa^{5/2}\overline{E}_\theta\overline{E}^{1/2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\frac{\tilde{E}_\theta\tilde{E}}{\overline{E}_\theta\overline{E}}\cos^2(\omega t)} - \frac{1}{8}\overline{\frac{\tilde{E}^2}{\overline{E}^2}\cos^2(\omega t)}\right) \tag{46}$$

using (43), (35) and (32) this gives

$$\overline{\Pi}_\theta = C_\theta\kappa^{5/2}\overline{E}_\theta\overline{E}^{1/2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}}\right)^2\right). \tag{47}$$

Again, as for the kinetic energy, we see that the modulation affects the flux, but this time the effect is the opposite: the transfer rate is diminished. For a fixed input, in the inertial range we have,

$$\overline{p}_\theta = \overline{\epsilon}_\theta = \overline{\Pi}_\theta, \tag{48}$$

yielding, with (37) and 38,

$$E_\theta = C'_{CO}\overline{\epsilon}_\theta \overline{\epsilon}^{-1/3} k^{-5/3}, \tag{49}$$

where

$$C'_{CO} = C_{CO}\frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}}\right)^2\right)^{1/3}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}}\right)^2\right)}. \tag{50}$$

The variance of the passive scalar is then enhanced by the same factor,

$$\overline{k}_\theta = \overline{k}_\theta(\tilde{p}=0)\frac{C'_{CO}}{C_{CO}}. \tag{51}$$

Since the mixing rate can be defined as

$$\chi_\theta = \overline{\epsilon}_\theta / \overline{k}_\theta, \tag{52}$$

we have for a fixed scalar input,

$$\chi_\theta(\tilde{p}) = \chi_\theta(\tilde{p}=0)\frac{C_{CO}}{C'_{CO}}. \tag{53}$$

This expression is shown in Figure 1, together with the kinetic energy transfer rate. The mixing rate goes down for a large-amplitude modulation and the energy transfer rate increases, as observed in the DNS [1].

## 4. High frequency behavior of the modulated variances

In the previous section, the quasi-static limit was considered. In that limit the different phase-shifts disappeared from the dynamics. When the frequency goes up, the phase-shifts $\Phi_E$ and $\Phi_{E_\theta}$, both functions of $\omega$ and $\kappa$,




Figure 1: Transfer rate $\chi$ and mixing rate $\chi_\theta$ in the low frequency limit, as a function of the relative forcing amplitude. Adding a slow, large amplitude modulation to the energy input diminishes the mixing rate and enhances the kinetic energy transfer rate.

complicate the analysis. In [8], it was shown that it is convenient to rewrite these equations in terms of spectra in- and out-of-phase,

$$E = \overline{E} + \tilde{F}\cos(\omega t) + \tilde{G}\sin(\omega t), \tag{54}$$

$$E_\theta = \overline{E}_\theta + \tilde{F}_\theta\cos(\omega t) + \tilde{G}_\theta\sin(\omega t). \tag{55}$$

We have hereby eliminated the phases $\Phi_E$ and $\Phi_{E_\theta}$ from our description. Both descriptions are obviously equivalent and can be related by

$$\tilde{F}^2 + \tilde{G}^2 = \tilde{E}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tan(\Phi_E) = -\tilde{G}/\tilde{F}, \tag{56}$$

with equivalent expressions for the scalar case. The first question to address is how the quantities $\tilde{F}_\theta$ and $\tilde{G}_\theta$ will be influenced by the periodically fluctuating energy input. The expressions for $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{G}$ were determined in [8]. It was shown that in the inertial range, for large $\omega$, $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}$ were given by

$$\tilde{G}/\overline{E} \sim \omega^{-3}\kappa_f^2\tilde{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}/\overline{E} \sim \omega^{-2}\kappa_f^2\tilde{p}\epsilon^{-1/3}\kappa^{-2/3}. \tag{57}$$

In the light of our recent investigation of out-of-equilibrium turbulence [18], $\tilde{G}$ corresponds to the equilibrium and $\tilde{F}$ to the non-equilibrium contribution of the modulated kinetic energy spectrum.

The derivation of the large-frequency behaviour of $\tilde{k}_\theta$ is straightforward. First we replace in $\Pi_\theta$ (expression (25)) the energy spectrum and the scalar spectrum by their expressions (54) and (55). Assuming the fluctuating spectra small compared to the time-averaged spectra, $\tilde{E}/\overline{E} \ll 1, \tilde{E}_\theta/\overline{E}_\theta \ll 1$, the flux can be approximated (to first order) by,

$$\tilde{\Pi}_\theta\cos(\omega t + \phi_\Pi) \approx \overline{\Pi}_\theta\left(\frac{\tilde{F}_\theta\cos(\omega t) + \tilde{G}_\theta\sin(\omega t)}{\overline{E}_\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{F}\cos(\omega t) + \tilde{G}\sin(\omega t)}{\overline{E}}\right). \tag{58}$$

We substitute this expression in the equation for the fluctuating scalar spectrum (21). Evaluating the expression at $t = 0$ and $t = \pi/2$ yields two coupled equations,

$$-\omega\tilde{F}_\theta = -\partial_\kappa\left(\overline{\Pi}_\theta\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{G}}{\overline{E}}\right)\right) - 2\alpha\kappa^2\tilde{G}_\theta \tag{59}$$

$$\omega\tilde{G}_\theta = -\partial_\kappa\left(\overline{\Pi}_\theta\left(\frac{\tilde{F}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{F}}{\overline{E}}\right)\right) - 2\alpha\kappa^2\tilde{F}_\theta. \tag{60}$$

In the inertial range, we assume that

$$\overline{E}(k) = C_k\overline{\epsilon}^{2/3}\kappa^{-5/3}, \qquad E_\theta = C_{CO}\overline{\epsilon}_\theta\overline{\epsilon}^{-1/3}\kappa^{-5/3}. \tag{61}$$

We further use the results (57), and, ignoring the diffusive terms, the above set of equations can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\tilde{F}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} = \psi\partial_\kappa\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta}\right) \tag{62}$$

and

$$\left[1 + \psi\partial_\kappa\left[\psi\partial_\kappa\right]\right]\frac{\tilde{G}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} = \psi\omega^{-2}\kappa_f^2\tilde{p}\overline{\epsilon}^{-1/3}\kappa^{-5/3} \tag{63}$$

where we introduced the expression,

$$\psi \sim \omega^{-1}\overline{\epsilon}^{1/3}k^{5/3}. \tag{64}$$

The second term in (63), containing the second derivative, is proportional to $\omega^{-2}$. For large frequencies we can thus ignore it compared to the first one, yielding,

$$\frac{\tilde{G}_\theta}{\overline{E}_\theta} \sim \omega^{-3}\kappa_f^2\tilde{p} \tag{65}$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_\theta \sim \frac{\epsilon^{1/3} k^{2/3}}{\omega} \tilde{G}_\theta. \tag{66}$$

Since $\bar{p} = \bar{\epsilon} \sim \bar{k}/T$ and $\kappa_f \sim \bar{\epsilon}\bar{k}^{-3/2}$, this can be expressed as

$$\frac{\tilde{G}_\theta}{\overline{\overline{E}}_\theta} \sim (\omega T)^{-3} (\tilde{p}/\bar{p}), \tag{67}$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{k}_\theta \sim \bar{k}_\theta (\omega T)^{-3} (\tilde{p}/\bar{p}). \tag{68}$$

We see thus that the periodic part of the scalar variance, at large $\omega$ is determined by large scale quantities. Furthermore, the scalar dissipation is given directly by

$$|\tilde{\epsilon}_\theta| = \omega |\tilde{k}_\theta|, \tag{69}$$

and therefore,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_\theta = \frac{\tilde{p}}{\overline{p}} \overline{\epsilon}_\theta (\omega T)^{-2}. \tag{70}$$

Our estimates for the low and high frequency asymptotes are sketched in Figure 2.

## 5. Discussion

The main result obtained in the present manuscript involves the mixing rate. According to Figure 1, the mixing rate $\chi_\theta$ will go down to 89% of its value if the flow-forcing contains a modulation with an amplitude ratio $\tilde{p}/\overline{p} = 1$. The energy-transfer rate, on the other hand will increase towards $\chi/\chi(\tilde{p} = 0) = 1.05$. These figures are the same order of magnitude as the figures obtained in the numerical study [1]. Note that at an amplitude of




Figure 2: Frequency response of the modulated part of the passive scalar variance and dissipation.

$\frac{\tilde{p}}{\bar{p}} = 0.2$ the change in the mixing rate and energy transfer becomes less than 1%. It is thus clearly a large amplitude effect. For smaller forcing amplitudes the linear prediction that the average fields are unaffected is approximately satisfied.

The same conclusions hold for the Kolmogorov and Corrsin-Obukhov constant. Their variations also become important only for large amplitude ratios. A recent related study of a similar effect can be found in [19]. They exploited experimentally the idea by Monin and Yaglom [20], section 25.1, who introduced a model to illustrate the influence of a fluctuating dissipation rate, or energy injection rate, on the Kolmogorov constant.

We can summarize the new physical insights as follows. The time-averaged nonlinear transfer is, at second order, influenced by the modulation of the en-

ergy injection. This enhances the energy flux. For a given energy injection at a given scale, this will decrease the level of the kinetic energy, or equivalently, the value of the Kolmogorov constant. The transfer of the passive scalar is also affected by the modulation, but the influence is the contrary. Qualitatively, this can be understood, at least partially, by the fact that the kinetic energy is decreased, so that the mixing of the scalar by the velocity fluctuations is less efficient. Adding a modulation to the forcing of a turbulent flow will thus decrease the integral velocity timescale and, simultaneously, increase the scalar timescale.

## References

## References

[1] Y. Yang, R. Chahine, R. Rubinstein, and W.J.T. Bos. Mixing in modulated turbulence: numerical results. *arXiv:1604.02941*, 2016.

[2] T. Ishihara, T. Gotoh, and Y. Kaneda. Study of high Reynolds number isotropic turbulence by Direct Numerical Simulation. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 41:65, 2009.

[3] L.S.G. Kovaznay. Spectrum of locally isotropic turbulence. *J. Aeronaut. Sci.*, 15:745, 1948.

[4] C.E. Leith. Diffusion approximation to inertial energy transfer in isotropic turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 10:435–472, 1967.

[5] R. Rubinstein and T.T. Clark. Reassessment of the classical closures for scalar turbulence. *J. Turbul.*, 14:71–98, 2013.

[6] C.E. Leith. Diffusion approximation for turbulent scalar fields. *Phys. Fluids*, 11:1612, 1968.

[7] A.K.M.F. Hussain and W.C. Reynolds. The mechanics of an organized wave in turbulent shear flow. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 41:241–258, 1970.

[8] W.J.T. Bos, T.T. Clark, and R. Rubinstein. Small scale response and modeling of periodically forced turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 19:055107, 2007.

[9] A. von der Heydt, S. Grossmann, and D. Lohse. Response maxima in modulated turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E*, 67:046308, 2003.

[10] A. K. Kuczaj, B. J. Geurts, and D. Lohse. Response maxima in time-modulated turbulence: Direct numerical simulations. *Europhys. Lett.*, 73:851, 2006.

[11] A.K. Kuczaj, B.J. Geurts, D. Lohse, and W. van de Water. Turbulence modification by periodically modulated scale-dependent forcing. *Computers & Fluids*, 37(7):816–824, 2008.

[12] O. Cadot, J.H. Titon, and D. Bonn. Observation of resonances in modulated turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 485:161, 2003.

[13] K.B. Winters, P.N. Lombard, J.J. Riley, and E.A. D'Asaro. Available potential energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 289:115–128, 1995.

[14] A.G.W. Lawrie and S.B. Dalziel. Rayleigh–Taylor mixing in an otherwise stable stratification. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 688:507–527, 2011.

[15] Y. Zhou, W.H. Cabot, and B. Thornber. Asymptotic behavior of the mixed mass in Rayleigh-Taylor and Richtmyer-Meshkov instability induced flows. *Phys. Plasmas*, 23, 2016.

[16] Y. Zhou, W.H. Matthaeus, and P. Dmitruk. Colloquium: Magnetohydrodynamic turbulence and time scales in astrophysical and space plasmas. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 76:1015, 2004.

[17] Y. Zhou. Renormalization group theory for fluid and plasma turbulence. *Phys. Rep.*, 488(1):1–49, 2010.

[18] W. J. T. Bos and R. Rubinstein. Dissipation in unsteady turbulence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.04054*, 2016.

[19] C.-C. Chien, D.B. Blum, and G. A. Voth. Effects of fluctuating energy input on the small scales in turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 737:527–551, 2013.

[20] A. S. Monin and A. M. Yaglom. *Statistical Fluid Mechanics II.* MIT Press, 1975.